

TERRY R. COCHRANE,
Plaintiff,
vs.
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN
POLICE DEPARTMENT, *et. al.*
Defendants.


Plaintiff failed to comply with Local Rule 26-7, which requires that a party filing a discovery motion file a statement certifying that efforts were made to meet and confer about the discovery dispute. LR 26-7(b). Plaintiff also failed to comply with Local Rule 26-7's requirement that the motion set forth in full the text of the discovery originally sought. LR 26-7 (a). Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion (Doc. # 52) is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

///
///
///
///
///

1 Plaintiff may renew his motion, if necessary, after making a good faith effort to meet and
2 confer with Defendants, and only if he attaches the relevant discovery documents.

3
4
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 DATED: June 20, 2012

7 

8

WILLIAM G. COBB
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28